PATENT COOPERATION TREA : Y

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

To:		PCT			
see form PCT/ISA/220		INTERNATION (F	TEN OPINION OF THE NAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY PCT Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1)		
		Date of mailing (day/month/year) see	e form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)		
Applicant's or agent's file reference see form PCT/ISA/220		FOR FURTHER A See paragraph 2 below			
International application No. PCT/EP2004/002829	International filing date (d	day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 18.03.2003		
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC A61B17/00					
Applicant GASCHE, Anke					
 □ Box No. IV Lack of unity of Box No. V Reasoned state applicability; complete applicability; complete application of the Internation of the Internation of the applicant chooses an Author International Bureau under Rule will not be so considered. □ Box No. VIII Certain observed. 2. FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international prewritten opinion of the Internation the applicant chooses an Author International Bureau under Rule will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided about the IPEA a written replication. 	ment of opinion with regard invention rement under Rule 43bis tations and explanations rements cited in the international apprations on the internation is real Preliminary Examination is real Preliminary Examining ity other than this one to 66.1bis(b) that written one to be a very together, where appropriately of Form PCT/ISA/220 or CT/ISA/220.	ard to novelty, inventives: 1.1(a)(i) with regard to supporting such state dication al application al application be the IPEA and the pinions of this Internation written opinion of the Ipriate, with amendments	usually be considered to be a lowever, this does not apply where chosen IPEA has notifed the tional Searching Authority		
Name and mailing address of the ISA:		Authorized Officer			

Name and mailing address of the ISA



European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465 Authorized Officer

Hamann, J

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-7046



WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/EP2004/002829

	Box	x N	o. I Basis of the opinion
1.			gard to the language , this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in guage in which it was field, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
		lar	is opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following aguage—, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search and representation of the search are represented by
2.			gard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and ary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	a. ty	ype	of material:
	ſ		a sequence listing
			table(s) related to the sequence listing
	b. fo	orm	at of material:
	(in written format
			in computer readable form
	c. ti	me	of filing/furnishing:
	[contained in the international application as filed.
			filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
	[furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3.		ha co	addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto s been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional pies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as propriate, were furnished.

4. Additional comments:

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/EP2004/002829

	Вох	No. II	Priority
1.	\boxtimes	The fol	lowing document has not been furnished:
		\boxtimes	copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(a)).
			translation of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(b)).
		Conse neverth	quently it has not been possible to consider the validity of the priority claim. This opinion has neless been established on the assumption that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.
2.		has be	oinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim en found invalid (Rules 43 <i>bis.</i> 1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international ate indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.
3.	Add	itional c	observations, if necessary:

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/EP2004/002829

Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability					
The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:					
	the entire international application,				
\boxtimes	claims Nos. 41-61				
bec	because:				
	the said international application, or the said claims Nos. relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):				
	the description, claims or draw unclear that no meaningful opi	-	(indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. are so could be formed (specify):		
	the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.				
☒ ·	no international search report l	nas b	een established for the whole application or for said claims Nos. 41-61		
	the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing does not comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions in that:				
	the written form		has not been furnished		
			does not comply with the standard		
	the computer readable form		has not been furnished		
	•		does not comply with the standard		
			and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, do ements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.		
	See separate sheet for further	deta	ile		

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N) Yes: Claims 1-40

No: Claims

Inventive step (IS) Yes: Claims 1-40

No: Claims

Industrial applicability (IA) Yes: Claims 1-40

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

JC05 Rec'd PCT/PTO 19 SEP 2005

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/EP04/02829

10/549599

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: US-A-5,868,760 (MCGUCKIN JR JAMES F) 9 February 1999 (1999-02-09)

D2: US-A-5,433,708 (BEHL ROBERT S ET AL) 18 July 1995 (1995-07-18)

D3: WO 00/59380 A (COALESCENT SURGICAL INC) 12 October 2000 (2000-10-12)

2.1 The document D1 is regarded as being the closest prior art to the subject-matter of claim 1, and discloses (see column 9, lines 27-40):

A kit for performing an inversion of a vermiform appendix of a patient, comprising a balloon, a conventional endoscope, and a longitudinally elongated operating capsule, for drawing the appendix into the bowel interior and into the operating capsule.

Since a balloon is normally mounted on a catheter, D1 thereby discloses an elongated flexible element, and means for anchoring the distal end of said elongated flexible element to interior walls of the appendix according to claim 1.

The subject-matter of claim 1 differs from this known kit in that it further comprises means for providing counterforce against the appendix base, wherein said means for providing counterforce against said appendix base is adapted for being advanced over said elongated flexible element toward said appendix base and engaging with said appendix base.

The means for providing counterforce against the appendix base facilitate the inversion of the appendix. Therefore, the subject-matter of claim 1 is considered as **involving an inventive step** (Article 33(3) PCT).

Even though D2 (see Figs. 7-9; column 3, line 17; column 4, line 62) and D3 (see e.g. Figs. 17A-17C and 18A-18E) further disclose means (outer sheath member 52 in D2; punch 704 in D3) adapted for being advanced over the elongated

flexible element toward the appendix base and engaging with said appendix base, these means are not suitable for providing counterforce against the appendix base for performing an inversion of a vermiform appendix: In D2 the inner diameter of the outer sheath member 52 is too small to be advanced over the expanded cage 56 around which further the appendix would have to be placed, and in D3 part 704 is a punch and would possibly cut the appendix base.

Since the devices of D2 and D3 are not disclosed for performing an inversion of a vermiform appendix, they are no appropriate starting points for considering inventive step of claim 1.

- 2.2 Claims 2-25 are dependent on claim 1 and as such also meet the requirements of the PCT with respect to novelty and inventive step.
- 2.3 In the light of the description it appears that the tubular element in **claim 26** is also suitable for providing counterforce against the appendix base. Taking for granted that this feature which as per the wording of the claim does not clearly form part of its subject-matter, but is in fact essential for the technical effect of the invention (see 2.1 above; Guidelines C-III, 4.4) has already been added to claim 26, the subject-matter of the remaining apparatus **claims 26-40** also meets the requirements of the PCT with respect to novelty and inventive step.